

The quality of a received signal is detected. The results of this detection are presented to the user as by any one or more of a variety of indicators. For example, blinking signal strength displays could indicate the channel quality. An audible alert could be employed as could text on the display, a vibrating indicator, an icon, indicator lights including variable intensity and/or variable color displays, and the like.

Also audio signal quality could be used for the same purpose; namely, an indication of the extent of distortion of the signal.

The method of this invention indicates the quality of a received signal at a mobile phone which a signal is received from a remote transmitter. This
5 received signal is inspected to determine its quality. An output correlated to the results of said inspecting step is then provided in the form of a user discernible indication in response to that output.

This inspecting step can include the step of comparing the received signal with a predetermined threshold. Further, the providing step can include
10 the step of generating a first output whenever the comparing step has met the aforesaid threshold and for otherwise generating a second output different from that first output.

The present invention is useful in conjunction with a digital transmission and receiving system wherein the inspecting step can include the step of
15 determining the BER of the received signal over a predetermined sampling period. It is also possible to ensure that the received signal has failed to meet the threshold value for a predetermined time-out period before generating the output indicative of such a failure.

It is particularly useful for the providing step to include the step of
20 establishing a visual indicator for the user discernible indication although a variety of user-sensible indicators are possible.

Apparatus in accordance with this invention provides an indication of the quality of a received signal at a mobile phone. A signal receiving antenna on the mobile phone receives signals transmitted from a remote location. A
25 signal quality determining arrangement in the mobile phone is coupled for inspecting this received signal, and provides an output signal indicative thereof. A user-discernible indication generator is then operable in response to this output signal.

This signal quality determining arrangement can include a comparator device coupled for comparing the received signal with a predetermined threshold. The comparator generates a first output whenever the received signal has met the threshold level while otherwise generating a second output
5 different from the first output. The apparatus is useful in conjunction with a digital transmission and receiving system. In this case, it typically can include a BER measuring device operable over a selected sampling period.

The apparatus can further include a time-out circuit coupled between the signal quality measuring arrangement and the user discernible signal
10 generator for ensuring that the received signal has maintained its measured level relative to this threshold value for a predetermined period before generating the user discernible output.

The foregoing and other features, utilities and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following more particular description of a
15 preferred embodiment of the invention as illustrated in the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

FIGURE 1 is a block diagram of the operation of one embodiment of the present invention.

20 FIGURE 2 is a view of a typical mobile phone display suitable for use in conjunction with the present invention.

FIGURE 3 is a block diagram of the elements associated with a mobile telephone incorporating the present invention.

25 FIGURE 4 is a flowchart illustrating one embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 5 is a flowchart of the quality decision elements of FIG. 4.

FIGURE 6 is a block diagram of a signal quality indication system based upon an audio quality measurement.

FIGURE 7 is a more detailed diagram of the audio quality measurement element of FIG. 6.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

FIG. 1 illustrates the primary function of the present invention wherein
 5 a received communication signal 10 is inspected and a signal quality decision 12 is reached relative to that signal. In the presence of a transmitted signal 10 which is of an acceptable quality, a normal signal indicator 14 is actuated. Conversely, if the received signal 10 is below a minimum quality threshold, an inferior signal indicator 15 is enabled or actuated.

10 For example, the present invention can operate in conjunction with a display 20 contained on a mobile phone or cell-phone. In this display, a series of bars 21, 22 and 23 along the left side reflect various signal strength indications. Whenever the signal quality decision 12 in an active digital mode determines that the Bit Error Rate (BER) is at or near 0.0%, indicators bars
 15 21-23 on the left side are shown constant without blinking. If the signal quality deteriorates such that the BER drops below a minimum value such as a BER greater than 4%, left indicators 21-23 are caused to start blinking. The initiation of the blinking can occur after a preselected time-out such as 0.5 seconds, for example.

20 When the display begins blinking, or otherwise indicating that the received signal quality has deteriorated, the user can take steps to improve the received signal quality. For instance, the user can change the position of the mobile phone while monitoring the display. If the signal quality improves adequately, the user will observe that the blinking has stopped and the signal
 25 bar is shown constantly on the display. Otherwise, the blinking will continue. Note that the quality indication is possible through other means such as audible, textual or vibratory arrangements, or any combination thereof.

Note further that the rate of blinking can be adjusted in steps from constant display without blinking for clear or minimally reduced signal quality to a rapid blinking for unacceptable quality. Blinking rates between those two boundary conditions can be proportioned to the magnitude of the signal quality deterioration. It is likewise preferable to employ a time-out before a poor quality indication is presented. This would prevent an erroneous quality deterioration display or signal in response to a transient received signal aberration.

The present invention is also useful in conjunction with an analog mode control channel. All incoming messages include a Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) repeated five times. This can include the analog signal. The quality indicator can be determined by the number of correct CRC's are received. For an analog voice channel, the Supervisory Audio Tone (SAT) can be used to monitor channel quality in that it is possible to measure the SAT signal level

For both the digital mode control channel and the digital mode traffic channel, the BER is useful in determining channel quality.

In FIG. 1, normal signal indicator 14 reflects a situation wherein channel quality is good. The poor or inferior signal indicator 15 refers to a situation where the signal quality is weak or unacceptable. For instance, the poor signal criteria may be said to exist whenever BER is greater than 4% for a duration of one second, whereas good quality can be said to exist if the BER remains less than 1% for one second. The exact values for criteria can be determined on a case-by-case basis.

The present invention gives the user an easily deciphered indication of signal quality. In the prior art, while the user receives an indication of signal quantity, the user does not get an indication of received signal quality. Thus, the user of a prior art mobile phone is as likely to conclude that deteriorated speech quality is a function of a malfunction of the phone when the fault lies with the communication network. Thus, the present invention facilitates trouble analysis by the user.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of a mobile telephone, or cellphone 30, modified to incorporate the present invention. Signal source 32 transmits a radio frequency signal 31 which is detected by receiver 35 of phone 30. That signal is handled by conventional circuitry (not shown) in the phone to produce an audible and/or visible signals for the user.

The signal produced by receiver 35 is monitored by quality detector 38. For a digital system, quality detector 38 could inspect the BER over predetermined sampling periods to produce a signal to display controls 40 thereby actuating the display 42. This conveys a human discernible signal, such as a flashing display, warning light actuation, audible tone, or any suitable output to the user.

An embodiment of the process for operating with both digital and analog systems is shown in the flowchart of FIG. 4. Upon power up 45 of the apparatus, a determination 46 is made as to the phone operating mode. Thus, if an analog voice channel is determined to be present, branch 48A is enabled. The presence of an analog control channel signal enables branch 48B whereas digital voice and control channels are enabled through branches 48C and 48D, respectively.

Each branch proceeds with a quality measurement process 49. Thus, for the analog voice channel, a SAT measurement 50A is made. For analog control, the CRC measurement 50B is performed while the digital BER acceptability for either of the two digital channels is determined in blocks 50C and 50D. In all four cases, a decision 52 is made as to whether the quality of the received signal is good or not good. A normal signal indicator 54 is enabled in the former situation whereas an inferior signal indicator 56 is enabled for the latter.

A time out function in elements 50 are for the purpose of ensuring that the detected condition has persisted long enough to be considered a valid indication. Thus, if the signal has persisted for a long enough period, a "yes" output causes the appropriate display indication 60. Conversely, failure of the

signal to persist throughout the time-out period causes enablement of return 62 to recycle the process. A similar result is produced after enabling the indication at 60 after it is sensed that another sampling cycle should be initiated.

- 5 For an analog system, the SAT might typically be a 5470 Hz, 6000 Hz or 6030 Hz tone transmitted by the base station.

S.S. AI
 A typical quality decision 50 within block 49 of FIG. 4 is shown in greater detail in FIG. 5. ~~The signal is received at 47 as enabled by detector 48. It is compared against a predetermined level and either a good, or a not-good~~
 10 ~~output is produced.~~ If the quality of the signal is below the predetermined level, a decision 65 is then made as to whether this signal condition has remained below the predetermined minimum level for a specified amount of time. If it has, then the decision is made that a "not good" signal quality
 15 minimum, it is presumed that a "good" condition exists, and that output is so indicated. The inverse decision process is provided by 66.

FIG. 6 is a block diagram of a signal quality indication system based upon an audio quality measurement, while FIG. 7 is a more detailed diagram of the audio quality measurement element of FIG. 6. In this embodiment, a phone
 20 mode determination is initially provided to indicate either digital or analog control output signal 71 is to be introduced to block 72, or a digital or analog voice signal at 73 is to be introduced to voice channel 74.

In the FIG. 6 system, the voice channel signal quality is measured at block 75 which is shown in greater detail in FIG. 7. As described previously
 25 herein, the decision results in either a good output 91, or a bad output 92. The former enables the normal signal quality indicator 76, while the latter enables the inferior signal indicator 77. A time-out function is once again employed to ensure that the signal condition is maintained for more than a transient period of time.

MS. A2 *c* In FIG. 7, the current audio status element 84 determines ~~whether~~ the audio distortion is above or below a predetermined level. If so, output 85A is introduced to element 86 which determines whether this condition has existed for at least a preselected period of time. In this case, a good output 91 is produced.

~~Conversely, if this distortion has existed above the selected limit for a specified period of time, not good output 92 is raised indicating an unacceptable amount of distortion.~~ Once again, failure of the distortion level to remain above the specified level of the selected period of time raises the presumption that the distortion was merely a transient.

Note that production of an inferior signal indicator, such as at 77 in FIG. 6, can be supplemented with an indicator of the magnitude of inferiority if desired. By way of example, if indicator 77 produces an output that suggests a signal quality is some percentage of acceptable, the indicator output can be arranged to so indicate. As a further example, if an unacceptable quality is reflected by a light that blinks at X cycles per minute, a determination that the quality measurement is half that amount could produce X/2 cycles per minute of blinking. Of course, a solid number display could actually present numbers representative of the amount of quality or distortion actually measured. Furthermore, controlled gray scaling of a display can be employed as a quality level indicator.

While the invention has been particularly shown and described with reference to a preferred embodiment thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various other changes in the form and details may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

What is claimed is: